* + - * + What you like about the features and functionality of the library so far.

I like the features and the functionality of the library so far. I think the user benefits are pretty clear. I can see that the main or the basic functionality of the library have already been implemented. I can see that good progresses are made. Good job!

* + - * + Your thoughts on the examples in their deployed webpage.  Were they easy to understand and use based on their explanations in their written work and/or the page itself?  Is it clear how the DOM is being manipulated?  Is the benefit clear to you as the user?

I like the deployed webpage. I have to say that when I look at it, I was surprised by how nice it looks. It already looks like a professional landing page. Good job! It is nice formatted, and the user benefit is clear. It was easy to understand how developers will be able to use the library. The DOM manipulation is clear. I like the way you present the DOM and data structure. You use different colors to separate the description and the properties. The user benefit is clear as well. I think the examples in the webpage clearly demonstrated how end user will be able to use your library and interact with it. Well done! One suggestion: in the document, you said that the library is compatible with 3rd party libraries while the existing ones are not. It might be a good idea to show its compatibility by providing an example in the webpage.

* + - * + What you think they could work to improve on, and/or things you didn't quite understand about the library functionality or the potential use cases.  As a peer, are there any concerns you might have about the complexity or originality of their library?

I think the potential use cases and functionality of the library is pretty clear.

Complexity: In the documentation, it is not clear that what will be implemented in the next phase, so it is hard to comment on the complexity. But I think the complexity is good so far. I think the complexity from the next phase might come from making it visually appealing with provided html elements.

Originality: I think the originality is good. When I was searching through existing waveform libraries, I could not find one that is not canvas based.

* + - * + Come up with a feature that you think would be a good addition to this library that they didn't talk about and explain why you think it would be nice to have.

I am not sure the feature of navigation through the audio by pressing the visualizer is good idea. I can see that it is a unique feature that you are trying to incorporate into your library. But what’s the user benefit? To be honest, it was not clear to me that the audio can be navigated through by clicking on the visualization bar. It was not until I read your proposal before I realized that I could do that. Is it better to make a time bar and letting users to navigate the music from there? I would argue that it is probably better to have both. Maybe one feature to add is to include a time bar where users can navigate through the music.

Another useful feature to add might be allow users to switch the volume of the music by just scrolling up or dragging the mouse. Or muting the music by pressing a key or right click. I think this feature is beneficial for the users as they have different ways of changing the volumes. Sometimes one way might be more convenient than the other.